

May 14, 2004

Mr. Christopher B. Gilbert Bracewell & Patterson 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 2900 Houston, Texas 77002-2781

OR2004-3986

Dear Mr. Gilbert:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201551.

The Katy Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received three requests for information relating to the same disciplinary matter. You inform us that most of the requested information either has been or will be released. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the information you submitted.<sup>1</sup>

Initially, we address the district's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) requires the governmental body to ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions to disclosure that it claims not later than the tenth business day after the date of its receipt of the written request for information. See Gov't Code § 552.301(b). Section 552.301(e) requires the governmental body to submit to the attorney general, not later than the fifteenth business day after the date of its receipt of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>You also notified an individual to whom the submitted information pertains of the requests for this information. As of the date of this decision, we have received no correspondence from the individual. See Gov't Code § 552.304 (any person may submit written comments stating why information at issue in request for attorney general decision should or should not be released).

request, (1) written comments stating why the governmental body's claimed exceptions apply to the information that it seeks to withhold; (2) a copy of the written request for information; (3) a signed statement of the date on which the governmental body received the request, or evidence sufficient to establish that date; and (4) the specific information that the governmental body seeks to withhold or representative samples of the information if it is voluminous. See id. § 552.301(e)(1)(A)-(D). If a governmental body does not request an attorney general decision as prescribed by section 552.301, the information requested in writing is presumed to be subject to required public disclosure and must be released, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold the information. See id. § 552.302; Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ).

You concede that the district did not request this decision within the ten-business-day period prescribed by section 552.301(b). Therefore, the submitted information is presumed to be public and must be released under section 552.302, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information from the public. See also Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can generally be overcome when the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. See Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). The district's claims under sections 552.101 and 552.102 can provide compelling reasons for non-disclosure under section 552.302. Therefore, we will address the applicability of these sections to the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that another statute makes confidential. The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 ("FERPA") provides that no federal funds will be made available under any applicable program to an educational agency or institution that releases personally identifiable information, other than directory information, contained in a student's education records to anyone but certain enumerated federal, state, and local officials and institutions, unless otherwise authorized by the student's parent. See 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1); see also 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining personally identifiable information).

FERPA is incorporated into the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code, by section 552.026. This section provides as follows:

This chapter does not require the release of information contained in education records of an educational agency or institution, except in conformity with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, Sec. 513, Pub. L. No. 93-380, 20 U.S.C. Sec. 1232g.

Gov't Code § 552.026. "Education records" under FERPA are those records that contain information directly related to a student and that are maintained by an educational

agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution. See 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(A).

Section 552.114(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a student record at an educational institution funded wholly or partly by state revenue." Gov't Code § 552.114(a). This office generally has treated "student record" information under section 552.114(a) as the equivalent of "education record" information that is protected by FERPA. See Open Records Decision No. 634 at 5 (1995).

In Open Records Decision No. 634 (1995), this office concluded that: (1) an educational agency or institution may withhold from the public information that is protected by FERPA and excepted from required public disclosure by sections 552.026 and 552.101 of the Act without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to those exceptions, and (2) an educational agency or institution that is state-funded may withhold from the public information that is excepted from required public disclosure under section 552.114 as a "student record," insofar as the "student record" is protected by FERPA, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to that exception. See Open Records Decision No. 634 at 6-8 (1995).

The submitted documents contain what appear to be the names of students of the district. We have marked that information. A parent of a student would have a special right of access under FERPA to information that identifies that parent's child. Otherwise, the district must not release information that identifies a student unless it has authorization under FERPA to do so.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common-law right to privacy. Information must be withheld from the public under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy when the information is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) of no legitimate public interest. See Industrial Found. v. Texas Ind. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976), cert. denied, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Common-law privacy protects the specific types of information that the Texas Supreme Court held to be intimate or embarrassing in Industrial Foundation. See 540 S.W.2d at 683 (information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs). This office has determined that other types of information also are private under section 552.101. See Open Records Decision No. 659 at 4-5 (1999) (summarizing information attorney general has determined to be private).

Section 552.102(a) excepts from public disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy[.]" This exception is applicable to information that relates to public officials and employees.

See Open Records Decision No. 327 at 2 (1982) (anything relating to employee's employment and its terms constitutes information relevant to person's employment relationship and is part of employee's personnel file). The privacy test under section 552.102(a) is the same as the test of common-law privacy under section 552.101 of the Government Code. See Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Tex. Newspapers, Inc., 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.).

In this instance, the submitted information concerns the conduct of an employee of the district. As this office has often noted, the public has a legitimate interest in information that relates to public employees and their employment-related behavior. Thus, such information is not private under sections 552.101 or 552.102. We therefore conclude that the district may not withhold any of the submitted information in conjunction with common-law privacy under sections 552.101 or 552.102. See also Open Records Decision Nos. 405 at 2 (1983) (manner in which public employee performed his or her job cannot be said to be of minimal public interest), 444 at 4 (1986) (public employee's personnel file information will generally be available to public regardless of whether it is highly intimate or embarrassing), 470 at 4 (1987) (public employee's job performance does not generally constitute private affairs), 473 at 3 (1987) (fact that public employee receives less than perfect or even very bad evaluation not protected by common-law privacy), 542 at 5 (1990) (information regarding public employee's qualifications is of legitimate public concern).

We note, however, that the district may be required to withhold some of the submitted information under section 552.117. Section 552.117(a)(1) excepts from public disclosure the home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information of a current or former employee of a governmental body who timely requests that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. Whether a particular item of information is protected from public disclosure by section 552.117(a)(1) must be determined at the time of the governmental body's receipt of the request for the information. See Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Thus, the district may only withhold information under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date of the district's receipt of the request for the information. The district may not withhold information under section 552.117(a)(1) on behalf of a current or former employee who did not make a timely request for confidentiality under section 552.024. We have marked the information that the district may be required to withhold under section 552.117(a)(1).

In summary: (1) information that identifies a student of the district is confidential under FERPA and must not be released, unless the information is subject to a parental right of access or the district is otherwise authorized to release the information under FERPA; and (2) the district may be required to withhold the information that we have marked under section 552.117(a)(1). The rest of the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code

§ 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Iames W. Morris, H

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

JWM/sdk

Ref: ID# 201551

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. & Mrs. Jahan Nikmard 19810 Partridge Run Houston, Texas 77094 (w/o enclosures)

> Mr. & Mrs. Francis Richards 1154 Dominion Drive Katy, Texas 77450 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. & Mrs. H. Key Sanford 1902 Alana Springs Drive Katy, Texas 77450 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. Karl Tiger Hanner Brim, Arnett & Robinett, P.C. 2525 Wallingwood Drive, Building 14 Austin, Texas 78746-6926 (w/o enclosures)